

# PROJECT 10073 RECORD CARD

1. DATE 17 May 50		2. LOCATION C'Hara AFB, Ill		12. CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Was Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Balloon <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Balloon  <input type="checkbox"/> Was Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Aircraft <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Aircraft  <input type="checkbox"/> Was Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Probably Astronomical <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly Astronomical  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Developing Film</u> <input type="checkbox"/> Insufficient Data for Evaluation <input type="checkbox"/> Unknown	
3. DATE-TIME GROUP Local 1300 (approx) GMT 17/1900Z		4. TYPE OF OBSERVATION <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Ground-Radar <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Visual <input type="checkbox"/> Air-Intercept Radar			
5. PHOTOS <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		6. SOURCE Civilian			
7. LENGTH OF OBSERVATION N/A		8. NUMBER OF OBJECTS 1		9. COURSE N/A	
10. BRIEF SUMMARY OF SIGHTING Photograph submitted showing a streak. No obj was sighted when photograph was taken.				11. COMMENTS After analysis it was decided that streak is due to a processing defect and it is not a real obj.	

8 February 1960

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

This is to acknowledge your letter of 15 January 1960 inclosing photograph negative of a UFO at O'Hare Air Force Base on 17 May 1959.

It is the opinion of ATIC that the streak in the attached negative is due to a processing defect and is not a real object.

Your negative is returned herewith.

Sincerely,

Inclosure

LAWRENCE J. TACKER  
Major, USAF  
Public Information Division  
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Chicago 18, Illinois

Comeback 01-3d  
Reader 01-1



[REDACTED]  
25 July 1959

Project Blue Book  
Air Technical Intelligence Center  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio

Gentlemen:

I took the enclosed photo at O'Hare Air Force Base during the Armed Forces Day Air Show held there on July 17, 1959.

The object was not visible to the naked eye.

I would normally pass it off as defective developing, a light streak or something of that nature, if it were not for the fact that the streak does not continue up on the negative.

I would set the time at somewhere around 1:00 P.M.

The negative is available for examination at my home if it is wanted.

Respectfully yours,  
[REDACTED]

4cm;1c

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE  
OHIO



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: AFCIN-4E4g

SUBJECT: Photograph of UFO

31 DEC 1959

TO: SAFOI-3d (Major L. J. Tacker)

1. The attached photograph was submitted to the ATIC photograph section for analysis. The conclusion reached is that the streak on the photograph is a developing flaw.

2. This Center cannot comment on how this streak may appear on the negative (reference Par. 3 of Mr. [REDACTED] letter, attached). The negative was requested by this Center on 11 August 1959, through your office, and as yet has not been received.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

*Philip G. Evans*  
PHILIP G. EVANS  
Colonel, USAF  
Deputy for Sciences and Components

1 Incl:  
Cy ltr 25 July 59, fr  
[REDACTED] w/photo



5 January 1960

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]

I am returning the photograph submitted with your letter of 23 July 1959.

The Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center has determined that the streak on the photograph is a developing flaw. The Center cannot comment on how this streak appears on the negative since you did not submit the negative as we requested in August 1959.

Sincerely,

Inclosure

LAWRENCE J. TACKER  
Major, USAF  
Public Information Division  
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]

Chicago 18, Illinois

Comeback OI-3d  
Reader OI-1

CIVILIAN UFO RESEARCH

Chicago 18, Ill.

8 January, 1960

Maj. L.J. Tacker  
Public Information Division  
Office of Information  
USAF  
Pentagon Building  
Washington 25, D.C.

Regarding my report and letter of 23 July 1959:

In your answer of 5 January, 1960, received today, you say that you asked for the negative in August of 1959. A check of my files shows that this item was not requested. In fact, there has been no mention of the letter by your office until the letter of the 5th.

If you desire the negative for examination, I would be willing to send it to ATIC. However, its return must be guaranteed, as I do not want to risk losing it and the other attached negatives.

\* \* \*

I would like to know the official conclusion in the case involving and unidentified flying objects seen over and near Oxnard AFB, California and 23 March, 1957.

Thank you.

Sincerely yours;



4cm;1s



13 January 1960

Dear Mr. [REDACTED]:

This is to acknowledge your letter of 8 January 1960 concerning unidentified flying objects.

I would suggest that you send the negatives of the photographs in your possession to the Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio for evaluation. At the same time you should send all pertinent information on the circumstances under which the photographs were taken.

Sincerely,

LAWRENCE J. TACKER  
Major, USAF  
Public Information Division  
Office of Information

Mr. [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
Chicago 18, Illinois

WComeback 01-3d  
Reader 01-1

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Chicago 18, Ill.  
15 January, 1960

Project Blue Book  
Aerospace Technical Intelligence Center  
Wright-Patterson Air Force Base  
Dayton, Ohio

Gentlemen:

On 25 July, 1959, I sent a photograph taken at O'Hare Air Force Base on 17 May, 1959. In my earlier letter, I erroneously stated that it was taken on 17 July.

Recently the photograph was returned to me by Major L.J. Tacker, who said that a complete evaluation was not possible without the negative. Therefore I am sending the enclosed negative to you so that you may complete your study.

The photo was taken during the Armed Forces Day Air Show, held there on the above date, at sometime around 1300 hrs.

There was nothing visible to the naked eye above the aircraft.

As I said in my earlier letter, I would pass it off as defective developing or something of that nature, except for that fact that the streak does not continue into the border or the other negative.

Would you please send immediate acknowledgement of receipt of this letter and negative and return the negative when you finish your evaluation.

Respectfully yours;  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
~~\_\_\_\_\_~~

5cm;ls



AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE  
OHIO



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: AF CIN-4E2

SUBJECT: Request for Analysis

19 January 1960

TO: AF CIN-4D4a

Request analysis of attached negative. Copies of correspondence regarding negative are also attached for your information.

*Richard R. Shoop*

RICHARD R. SHOOP  
Colonel, USAF  
AF CIN-4E2

2 Atch:

1. Cy ltr 15 Jan 60 fr [REDACTED]  
w/negative
2. Cy ltr 25 July 59 fr [REDACTED]

*AF CIN-4E2*

*28 Jan 60*

*It is the opinion of AF CIN 4D4 that the  
UFO on the attached negative is due to a  
processing defect and is not a real object.*

[REDACTED]

*AF CIN 4D4*

AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER  
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE  
WRIGHT-PATTERSON AIR FORCE BASE  
OHIO



REPLY TO  
ATTN OF: AECIN-4E2

SUBJECT: UFO Sighting

3 FEB 1960

TO: SAFOI-3d (Major L. J. Tacker)

It is the opinion of ATIC that the UFO in the attached negative is due to a processing defect and is not a real object.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

*Philip G. Evans*  
PHILIP G. EVANS

Colonel, USAF

Deputy for Sciences and Components

2 Atch:

1. Cy ltr 15 Jan 60 fr

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~ w/negative

2. Cy ltr 25 July 59 fr ~~\_\_\_\_\_~~